

# North Metro Transportation Study



## FINAL REPORT

October 5, 2001

Prepared for:

**Regional Transportation District  
1600 Blake Street  
Denver, CO 80202**

Prepared by:

**BRW, Inc./URS Corporation  
1225 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200  
Denver, CO 80202**

In association with:

**Manuel Padron & Associates, Inc.  
Felsburg, Holt & Ullevig  
Kumar and Associates, Inc.**



## Table of Contents

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>ES 1– ES 16</b>
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....	1
1.2 STUDY AREA .....	1
1.3 PURPOSE OF REPORT.....	4
1.4 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR PROJECT .....	5
1.5 PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT .....	7
<b>2.0 ALTERNATIVES EVALUATED.....</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 STUDY EVALUATION PROCESS .....	11
2.2 CONCEPTUAL LEVEL ALTERNATIVES AND SCREENING .....	11
NO ACTION.....	12
2020 RTP .....	12
TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT.....	12
HIGHWAY WIDENING.....	16
ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS.....	16
BUS/HOV LANES.....	17
LRT/DMU.....	17
COMMUTER RAIL/DMU .....	18
2.3 ALTERNATIVES SCREENED DURING INITIAL DETAILED EVALUATION.....	18
2.4 MOST PROMISING ALTERNATIVES.....	19
NO ACTION.....	19
2020 RTP .....	19
TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT.....	19
HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS .....	19
BUS/HOV LANES.....	21
LRT/DMU.....	21
2.5 BREAK IN STUDY PROCESS .....	21
<b>3.0 DETAILED EVALUATION PROCESS AND RESULTS.....</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1 COSTS & AFFORDABILITY .....	22
3.2 TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY BENEFITS AND EFFECTIVENESS .....	23
CAPACITY BENEFITS OF THE INVESTMENT .....	23
UTILIZATION OF THE INVESTMENT .....	24
TRAVEL TIME, DELAY AND CONGESTION BENEFITS .....	24
REGIONAL TRAVEL PATTERNS.....	24
3.3 COST EFFECTIVENESS.....	25
3.4 IMPACTS TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT .....	25
WETLANDS.....	26
PARKS.....	26
ENDANGERED SPECIES AND WILDLIFE HABITATS .....	26
HISTORIC RESOURCES .....	27
AIR QUALITY .....	27
CONTAMINATED MATERIALS.....	27
3.5 IMPACTS TO ADJACENT COMMUNITIES .....	28
PROPERTY DISPLACEMENTS.....	28
DISRUPTION TO ADJACENT NEIGHBORHOODS .....	28
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ANALYSIS .....	29
IMPACTS TO OTHER COMMUNITY FACILITIES .....	29
3.6 LAND USE ANALYSIS .....	30
3.7 INTER-PROJECT EFFECTS .....	31
TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT ACTIONS .....	31

RIGHT-OF-WAY PRESERVATION..... 32

CAPACITY OF "DOWNSTREAM" INFRASTRUCTURE/LANE BALANCING..... 32

NORTH-MAX ANALYSIS..... 32

TECHNOLOGY..... 32

**4.0 DEVELOPMENT OF PACKAGED ALTERNATIVES..... 34**

    4.1 CREATION AND SCREENING OF PACKAGES..... 34

    4.2 A FOURTH PACKAGE: THE DRAFT LPA..... 35

**5.0 LOCALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE ..... 36**

    5.1 DECISION PROCESS..... 36

    5.2 DESCRIPTION OF ELEMENTS..... 36

        BUS/HOV LANES..... 36

        HIGHWAY WIDENING..... 36

        LRT/DMU..... 36

        CORRIDOR PRESERVATION..... 40

        ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS..... 40

        TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT..... 40

        PHASE II ELEMENTS..... 41

    5.3 EVALUATION RESULTS OF LPA..... 41

        COSTS..... 41

        MOBILITY BENEFITS..... 45

        COST EFFECTIVENESS..... 47

        EFFECTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT..... 47

        EFFECTS ON COMMUNITIES..... 48

        RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PROJECTS..... 49

    5.4 APPROVING THE DRAFT LPA..... 50

    5.5 REFINING THE LPA..... 51

    5.6 FUTURE STEPS..... 52

APPENDIX A: LIST OF PUBLIC & AGENCY INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES

APPENDIX B: MEMBERS OF THE TECHNICAL AND POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEES

APPENDIX C: MEETING MINUTES FROM FINAL TAC/PAC AND PUBLIC MEETINGS

APPENDIX D: SUMMARY OF OPINION SURVEY RESULTS AND STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS

APPENDIX E: NO ACTION AND 2020 RTP PROJECTS

APPENDIX F: DETAILED EVALUATION RESULTS FOR MOST PROMISING ALTERNATIVES

APPENDIX G: SUGGESTIONS MADE DURING LAND USE/TRANSPORTATION WORKSHOP

APPENDIX H: CROSS SECTIONS AND DIAGRAMS

    I-25 BUS/HOV LANES AND WIDENING (CROSS SECTION)

    I-25 BUS/HOV LANE DETAIL (PLAN VIEW)

    SH 224 BUS/HOV LANES (CROSS SECTION)

    OPERATIONS OF I-76/SH-224 BUS/HOV LANES (PLAN VIEW)

    LRT/DMU ON BOULDER BRANCH (CROSS SECTION)

APPENDIX I: AERIAL PHOTOS OF LRT STATION LOCATIONS

APPENDIX J: BUS NETWORK ASSUMED FOR THE LPA

APPENDIX K: EVALUATION RESULTS FOR THE LPA

APPENDIX L: CDOT RESOLUTION

APPENDIX M: RTD RESOLUTION

APPENDIX N: LETTERS OF SUPPORT

APPENDIX O: DETAILED COST SPREADSHEETS

**LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURE 1-1 LOCATIONS OF OTHER MIS STUDIES .....2

FIGURE 1-2 NORTH METRO TRANSPORTATION STUDY AREA .....3

FIGURE 1-3 MAJOR INVESTMENT STUDY PROCESS .....4

FIGURE 1-4 CONGESTED ROADWAYS IN 2020 .....6

FIGURE 1-5 KEY STUDY PARTICIPANTS .....7

FIGURE 2-1 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE .....13

FIGURE 2-2 PROJECTS IDENTIFIED UNDER THE 2020 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN .....14

FIGURE 2-3 ALTERNATIVES SHOWING THE MOST PROMISE .....20

FIGURE 5-1 LOCALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE .....37

FIGURE 5-2 TIP, RTP and LPA ELEMENTS COMBINED .....38

FIGURE 5-3 DETAILED MAP OF LPA ELEMENTS .....39

FIGURE 5-4 ROLE OF STUDY IN PROJECT DEVELOPMENT .....52

**LIST OF TABLES**

TABLE 2-1 NEW POPULATION AND EMPLOYMENT COMPARISONS .....21

TABLE 5-1 LOCALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE – CAPITAL COSTS .....42

TABLE 5-2 LPA LRT/DMU CROSSING ASSUMPTIONS .....44

TABLE 5-3 PARKING AT TRANSIT STATIONS .....45

TABLE 5-4 LRT/DMU STATION LOCATION ASSUMPTIONS .....45

TABLE 5-5 DAILY UTILIZATION – YEAR 2020 .....46

TABLE 5-6 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS PROJECTED FOR YEAR 2020 .....46