

# GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

## JUNE 1-30, 2009



Comment	Source	Date Captured
<p>I am thinking about moving within the Denver metro area and cannot find the specific designs on the location of the light rail for the Gold Rail. I have always been a fan of Arvada area. Most of the information I have found shows the light rail along the old railroad lines. I want to buy a house close to the light rail that will not be redeveloped with the arrival of light rail. How close can I get to the current light rail (1/2 mile or mile and etc.) and meet this goal? I am going to move within the next 6 months? \ \ I want to have my ducks in a row before I get my realtor involved. \ \ My personal email is included on the CC. You can respond to either one. \ \ Thanks in advance for any information, \ \ Derrick XXXXX</p>	email	Jun 04 2009
<p>Good day. \ \ I am a resident of the Goldline Corridor and have a brief question regarding the proposed Goldline ROW alignment between west 38th Avenue in Denver and west 52nd Avenue in Adams County. \ \ I notice that this section of the proposed alignment is immediatly east of a BNSF switch yard and am curious to know if this would impact the BNSF rail spur that departs from the railyard immediately south of the BNSF TOFC and runs east through Globeville along west 48th Avenue. \ \ Please let me know if you have any information available regarding any possible impacts to this freight rail spur. \ \ Thank you very much. \ \ With regard, \ \ Elia XXXXXX \ Denver</p>	email	Jun 07 2009
<p>Councilwoman Montero Statement about RTD's Commuter Rail Maintenance Facility \ \ Over the last five years, District 9 constituents have banded together and put in many, many hours of work in helping to guide RTD's process for creating the new maintenance facility needed to service the voter approved FasTracks project. \ \ What I'm most proud of, is that regardless of which site RTD was considering for the maintenance facility, our constituents came out to support one another with the aim of working with RTD to create a site that would least negatively impact the community as a whole. When the site was proposed to be near the Union Pacific TOFC site, neighbors from Sunnyside came out to support the River North neighbors. When it was proposed to be at the old Denver Post site, constituents from HUNI and River North came to support their Globeville and Sunnyside neighbors. \ \ As we neared what we believe to be a satisfactory resolution for the community stakeholders â stakeholders who have been dedicated for many years to guiding promising development in District 9 â we were introduced to one of the properties that would be effected by the current preferred site and we learned that they have concerns about facing relocation. \ \ The deadline for selecting a site looms because it is tied to the Gold Line EIS process going forward. As we near the end of this process, here are a few points of information that might be helpful to you as one of our stakeholders: \ \ * The RTD Board will approve the Gold Line EIS this summer. Once they do this, it will be sent to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the FTA is supposed to issue a Record of Decision (ROD) by fall. As part of the Gold Line EIS, the preferred maintenance facility site will be ok'd by FTA in the ROD. \ \ * Even though the comment period for the DRAFT EIS ended on May 15, constituents can still submit formal comments because they'll be considered in the FINAL EIS. \ \ * While the Taxi site had not been completely cleared as an EIS acceptable site for the maintenance facility, most of the required work that had to be done was already done to clear it as the preferred site. If the Fox North</p>	email	Jun 15 2009

# GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

## JUNE 1-30, 2009



<p>Site ISN'T selected, technically RTD would have to reevaluate other sites and it is most likely that the Taxi site would be their leading prospect for reevaluation since it was the site most recently and thoroughly analyzed. \ \ I urge our stakeholders to stay with the process even a little longer. I sincerely hope that you continue to think very carefully about the impacts of the maintenance facility site on the progressive development you've all worked so hard on for so many years. It seems inevitable that the maintenance facility will be located somewhere that will significantly change the community it comes into. I trust that you all will continue to be visionary about the kind of North Denver you want to create and that you'll continue to participate by contributing your thoughts about the community you are working to build. \ \ Teresa XXXXX \ XXXXXXXX \ XXXXXXXX XXXX XXXX \ XXX-XXX-XXXX (office)</p>		
<p>To RTD-FasTracks: \ \ I would like to submit a comment on the site selection for the CRMF at Fox North. This comment can be part of the final eis if it cannot be included in the DEIS. \ \ The many surrounding neighborhoods have been working together, for almost five years, to select a site for the CRMF. The Fox North site is the exact type of site that community members in five surrounding neighborhoods have been advocating for for five years. We are all very happy that finally, after so many years of hard work, with many dozens of public meetings, that we have found a site that is acceptable to Denver residents and that will not displace future customers of FasTracks and RTD away from easy access to FasTracks stations. \ \ The request by the employees of one business should not derail or change the years of work by Denver citizens to choose a site like this meets the needs of current and future Denver area residents and transit users. \ \ Michael XXXX \ \ _____ \ \ Michael XXXX and Tsehai XXXXXX \ \ XXXXX XXXX Street \ Denver, CO XXXXX \ XXX XXX XXXX \ XXXXXXX.com \ XXXXXXX.com</p>	email	Jun 15 2009
<p>Thank you for the update on the Owens Corning property issue. You have told us what your plan is, but you do not tell us what Owens Corning's response is. That is the real issue. If your modified plan creates a problem for them, it is still a bad idea. RTD is a tax supported organization and should not be adversely impacting other businesses who pay taxes \ \ Doyle XXXXX.</p>	email	Jun 25 2009
<p>Just wondering when the west corridor along I-70 heading through Arvada, Wheat Ridge is anticipated? Thanks</p>	website	Jun 26 2009
<p>Hello, I am considering buying a condo in XXXX XXX Arvada. I am having trouble getting an answer to my question on the BNSF/Union \ Pacific Railroad route which runs behind the XXXX neighborhood. Will trains continue to use the tracks or will the existing tracks be used solely for the transit line? Or will they just add tracks to run along the regular train tracks? As you can guess, I have concerns \ with the noise issue in that area. I appreciate your time and look forward to getting some answers. \ \ Sincerely, \ Diane XXXXX</p>	email	Jun 26 2009

# GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

## JUNE 1-30, 2009



<p>Right the rail program needs a review of the latest change in use of the train horn. I live near XXXX drive, which has a mechanical crossing guguardsard. Despite this gard, the train engineer ALWAYS leans on the horn during the entire crossing event. Part of the problem is that there are unguarded crossings at other nearby sections of the rail that do not have mechanical guards, and by the time they have left the smaller unguarded crossing they are preparing for the next unguarded crossing. \ \ With the advent of a new additional train system in the coming years, there MUST be some effort made so that entire neighborhoods are not redlined into "its OK to make as much noise in at any time in this neighborhood" communities. If there is not an adequate effort to mitigate the noise now, there will be a major backlash to implementing the Fast Track Rail system in the affected areas. \ \ Please keep me posted regarding noise related matters. \ \ Sincerely, \ Jordan XXX \ XXXX West XXXX Lane \ Arvada CO XXXXX</p>	email	Jun 30 2009
--	-------	-------------