

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



Comment	Source	Date Captured
I support the street car alignment for the Gold Line. This is the best smart growth option and will serve to connect and re-vitalize North Denver, Lakeside and Arvada.	website	Jun 08 2007
Please call me, my name is XXXX, at XXX.XXX.XXXX during the workday. I need to know if the Gold Line... I can't tell from your email if you have narrowed to one option or if there are still two. If you could let me know as soon as possible I'd appreciate it. My name is XXXX. Again the number is XXX.XXX.XXXX.	phone	Jun 08 2007
I am very saddened to see that the railroad option was chosen. I agree that it would be better if people who actually live in Denver could benefit rather than those in the suburbs. If the 38th ave option was chosen I was looking forward to riding downtown on a daily basis and am very discouraged. I think the main problem was that the mixed flow was the only option truly considered and if 38th had closed down to 2 lanes of car traffic, the 38th option would have been a better one. I think we need to start to discourage so much car travel in favor of mass transit. Denver is truly suffering as a result of this decision.	website	Jun 08 2007
<p>I am really looking forward to the completion of this project. I grew up in Washington D.C. and traveled everywhere on the D.C. Metro rail. I think i drove through the city half a dozen times in the 25 years i lived there. This rail system will do wonders for Denver business and make the roads much safer (people clubbing downtown will have no real reason to drive at all, they can take the rail after the clubs close and keep drunk drivers off the streets). I live near the 9 mile station and take it everywhere i can now, and since i work off of 36 and Church Ranch i am eagerly anticipating the completion of that rail line.</p> <p>My only suggestion is a connecting line between the Belleview station and Oxford or Englewood or somewhere in that area. As it is now, we have to take the H line from 9 Mile up to the connecting stations, then take another train backtracking to Littleton. I certainly would not call this urgent, but maybe just something to consider. The lines to DIA and up 36 are far more pressing IMO.</p>	website	Jun 11 2007

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>comment on 38th ave station location for Gold Line Sunnyside and Highland neighborhood leaders met a month ago to discuss the location of the 38th ave station on the Gold Line. The sentiment was unanimous that the 38th ave station needs to be as close as possible to 38th avenue, so that it is convenient to North Denver residents via our primary East-West arterial, while also supporting TOD redevelopment on both sides of the rail yards near 38th avenue. Therefore, we have asked that the location of the station not be narrowed down until we complete or station area planning process in give more detailed public input on this issue.</p> <p>XXXX XXXX XXXXXX XXXX XXXX and XXXX XXXX XXXX Osage Street Denver, CO XXXX XXX XXX XXXX <mailto:XXXXXXX> XXXXXXXXXXXX <mailto:XXXXXXX> XXXXXXXXXXXX</p>	<p>email</p>	<p>Jun 11 2007</p>
<p>Concerning the RTD Gold Line Once this project is accepted by the RTD Board and FTA, I am hoping you will be able to furnish more details of what will happen to the Bus Routes #38 and #44.</p> <p>My concern is I do NOT drive and I hope you will keep us informed as to what will happen to the routes between Kipling and Sheridan. I live between both routes at 41st and Newland and if you take these routes out between Kipling and Sheridan on route 38 and 44, I will have to walk to Harlan in order to catch the Gold Line to get to work at 7th and Broadway. I won't be able to go to my doctors or the stores between Kipling and Sheridan along 38th and 44th any more either. This was the reason I bought a home in this area because I can get where I need to go very easily now. Once the Gold Line comes in, it sounds like the bus service will stop between these areas.</p> <p>So I am just hoping we will continue to be informed as the any route changes so that I will be able to adjust how I will be able to get to the places I need to go in the future.</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>XXXXX X. XXXX A concerned and loyal bus rider in Wheat Ridge CO</p>	<p>email</p>	<p>Jun 11 2007</p>

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>Gold Line Comment To whom it may concern:</p> <p>I will not be able to attend the Public Workshops in June regarding the Gold Line. However, the attached pdf file contains the comments I would like to make. Your consideration of this is appreciated.</p> <p>Thank you, XXXX XXXXX property owner - XXX XXX XXX XXX-XXX-XXX</p>	email	Jun 11 2007
<p>RTD Gold Line I cannot attend the 6/27 meeting and wanted to share my comments. My first preference, assuming the legislature approves the liability insurance required by the train companies, is using the train lines with a larger vehicle (forget the name). There were 2 station locations suggested for this alternate at a mar/apr meeting I attended and I prefer the southern one (40th and Fox roughly).</p> <p>Let me know if there's anything else you wanted feedback on that I overlooked.</p> <p>Best, XXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX Lipan Street, Denver CO XXXXX Director, XXXX XXXXX Regis Blvd., Mailcode XXXX, Denver, CO XXXX Phone: XXX-XXX-XXXX or 1-800-XXX-XXX xXXX XXXXX</p>	email	Jun 11 2007
<p>38th street I really feel it is important to have something going down 38th st. if you don't it shuts out all people in the Highlands, and wheatridge. THis is a community that prefer to ride. thank you XXXX XXXXX</p> <p>XXXXXX XXXX XXXXXX.com XXXX Navajo St. Denver, Colorado XXX XXX XXX XXXX</p>	email	Jun 14 2007

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>I support the preferred alternative and cannot make the next meeting for I will be out of town that week. I prefer electric over diesel for I feel diesel will cost more to operate over the long term given price volatility. Also electric could use renewable electric sources in the future and improve on air quality. Thank you.</p> <p>XXXX XXXX</p>	email	Jun 14 2007
<p>This is great news. thank you for sharing and all your diligent work. I hope to see you at one or more of the meetings.</p> <p>XXXX XXXX</p>	email	Jun 14 2007
<p>Please remove me from this mail list. The Gold Line will be of absolutley no benefit to me or my neighborhood.</p> <p>Kim XXXXX, North Denver</p>	email	Jun 14 2007
<p>I am VERY disappointed to hear that you are recommending the elimination of a streetcar option that is proposed to run on local streets including 38th Avenue, Harlan Street and Ralston Road. Bad decision. This would have given the rehabilitation efforts of the Sunnyside, Highland and Berkely neighborhoods a much needed push.</p> <p>Jeffrey XXXX Denver, CO</p>	email	Jun 14 2007

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>electric v diesel I have been at several of the Gold line meetings, and I have been impressed with the way that RTD and the Gold Line Group have taken public comment. So with that in mind . . . I highly prefer that the Gold Line would use electric cars as opposed to diesel.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for environmental reasons (fine particulate matter is the number one air pollutant in West Metro and to put diesel trains on the tracks would take away a lot of the environmental benefits of mass transit) - for national security reasons (though bio-diesel is in our near future, currently we would be better served to loco mote using energy that is produced domestically instead of using foreign sources for our power) - for economic reasons (though we have seen electricity cost increases due to volatility in the natural gas market, I believe that we are better served by fully avoiding the volatility that have more than doubled the cost of diesel in the last 3 years.) - for the growth of Colorado's economy (great strides have been made to increase Colorado's role as a world wide leader in renewable energy, if we choose electric locomotives we could employ currently available renewable energy technologies such as wind and hydro) <p>Quite frankly, I cant think why RTD would employ diesel, and I hope that RTD make the right choice</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>Danny XXXXX XXX Grandview Ave Arvada XXXXX XXX-XXX-XXXX</p>	<p>email</p>	<p>Jun 14 2007</p>
--	--------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>commuter rail preferred alternative Gold Line Team:</p> <p>Thank you for your continued dedication and hard work on the EIS process. The commuter rail alternative you've chosen to present as the preferred way forward will serve Northwest Denver in a limited fashion, but will provide fewer disruptions to traffic along 38th Ave. and allow for smooth connection to the Northwest Corridor line. I look forward to seeing the presentation you're putting together for the upcoming public meetings. It's important, however, that you clearly present the technology preference, which emerged some time ago in the EIS process, to the RTD Board. That preference is EMU. The alternative, diesel-fueled trains, were ruled out long ago -- even before the street car.</p> <p>Your recent press release seeks to appease the Board with generic language, i.e., "commuter rail" rather than "EMU", and constitutes a step backward in the EIS process. I'm aware of some of the arguments being made for diesel, but the bottom line is that it's an unreliable/unproven option, which would both add to Denver's pollution problems and detract from the region's momentum in the direction of renewable energy. And, by the Board's own admission, DMU would likely be more expensive in the long run. No matter what happens in the other corridors, you must do your part and insist on EMU technology.</p> <p>Constantin XXXXX</p>	email	Jun 15 2007
<p>I will not be able to attend the public meeting on 6/27, but wanted to express my opinion on the type of commuter rail would be preferred.</p> <p>While most of my neighbors, I'm sure, would prefer electric, I think that diesel would OK. Advances have been made in diesel technology to lessen air quality and noise impacts, and it could be built for less money. I am particularly in favor of diesel, because I believe the cars would be built by a Colorado (Weld County) company. Keep our tax dollars at home whenever possible.</p> <p>Janice XXXX XXXX Vallejo St. Denver, CO XXXX XXXXX</p>	email	Jun 15 2007
<p>The elimination of the streetcar is such bad news for us!</p>	email	Jun 15 2007

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>To the RTD GoldLine Team:</p> <p>We were very very pleased that the chosen alternative for Fas Tracks is to be along the railroad right of way rather than the streetcar alternative. The overall impact of the streetcar in our neighborhood was a major concern to us and to our neighborhood. Attending the focus group meetings helped us come to the conclusion that the streetcar would not be a faster or a more efficient form of transportation with the proposed mixed flow. The commuter rail alternative will meet the voter expectations for Fas Tracks. As Wheat Ridge residents, we would like to see the following for this alternative:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ^a The use of electric trains rather than diesel trains. ^a That RTD will provide electric bus transportation from key areas in Wheat Ridge to designated commuter stations. <p>Our only regret is that the RTD Gold Line Team could not hear the cheers from our neighbors when they heard that our beautiful and peaceful cul de sac will not be destroyed.</p> <p>Thank you for keeping us informed. We will be at the public meetings in late June.</p> <p>Sincerely, Ellen XXX and Gary XXXXX</p>	email	Jun 18 2007
<p>Please choose EMU for Gold Line. As a Denver resident in the Highlands neighborhood, I am concerned about the proposal to choose DMU as the technology for all four Fastracks lines. I feel that EMU is a better choice for the future of Denver due to its environmentally-conscious choice and its relative lack of noise and pollution. I strongly urge you to choose EMU for all four lines. I am particularly concerned about the Gold Line which will be traveling through my neighborhood.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Timothy XXX XXXX W 28th Ave Denver, CO XXXX</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Tim XXX XXXXX</p>	email	Jun 18 2007

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>Or, try this headline:</p> <p>RTD bends the Residents of the City of Denver over once again in order to build more transit for the rich.</p> <p>Another fine job of arriving at the outcome you wanted in the first place with the so-called EIS. Why don't you just be honest, and spend your time telling us that you intend to screw Denverites to the wall once again so that you can continue to construct transit for those who chose to live out in suburban hell and should spend their days sitting in the car as punishment for their wasteful lifestyle. You will be receiving no more votes from me in the future to fund your "suburban express" transit system.</p>	email	Jun 18 2007
<p>EMU, Please We sure hope you choose EMU instead of DMU for the Gold Line corridor, for all the good reasons of sustainability, long-term cost, pollution, noise, etc. that many people, I'm sure, have been writing you about. Thanks for the good work you're doing.</p> <p>John XXXX XXX-XXX-XXXX</p>	email	Jun 19 2007
<p>We live at XXXX Harlan St. What impact will light rail have on this property, especially what property would be have easement impact. What side of Harlan St. would be condemned ?</p> <p>Dale XXXX XXXX Harlan St. Wheat Ridge, Co. XXXXX XXX,.XXX.XXXX</p>	email	Jun 24 2007
<p>I am very much in favor of the electric (EMU) alternative for the Gold Line. Looking to the future, we need to be sure that we will be ready for alternatives to fossil fuel, and electric will (by current research) afford us more flexibility. The issue of "sound polution" is also a serious consideration. Although I do not live very close to the lines, I would not want to add to the noise immediate neighbors already endure. I am looking forward to this transportation opportunity, and hope that you will also enhance connecting services (bus) to make it easier for neighborhood residents to reach the Gold Line in a timely manner, and use it regularly.</p>	website	Jun 25 2007

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: I am very much in favor of the electric (EMU) alternative for the Gold Line. Looking to the future, we need to be sure that we will be ready for alternatives to fossil fuel, and electric will (by current research) afford us more flexibility. The issue of "sound pollution" is also a serious consideration. Although I do not live very close to the lines, I would not want to add to the noise immediate neighbors already endure. I am looking forward to this transportation opportunity, and hope that you will also enhance connecting services (bus) to make it easier for neighborhood residents to reach the Gold Line in a timely manner, and use it regularly.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 25 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: One more vote for going electric instead of diesel. Quiet, clean, greener.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 25 2007</p>
<p>One more vote for going electric instead of diesel. Quiet, clean, greener.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 25 2007</p>

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>I would like information on the current negotiations with RTD and BN/SF. Are they getting any closer to an agreement and is the railroad going to ask for more money that will impact the budget?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? no</p> <p>Eliminate the Pecos station due to dangerous location and lack of public support. A great way to lessen costs.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>Waste of resources. No support from Avada or Wheatridge. Voted for FASTracks not slow streetcar.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 25 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes I believe the commuter rail is the right choice for Denver, the Sunnyside Neighborhood and all others along the gold line corridor.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes There possibly could be more, but at the very least I agree with these planned stations.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes Yes, in fact, i believe EMU is the only viable technology. It has a proven track record vs. DMU which has virtually none; electricity can be generated from "green" resources such as solar and wind, in keeping with the forward thinking of our Governor, the state and society and is just the smart way to go in general.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes yes, for the purposes of Fastracks and the Gold line. Perhaps it can still be considered as a future addition to NW Denver and the gold line corridor.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? the only thing that should be seriously considered for the Gold line is EMU, along the rail line, with ample stops in each of the NW Denver neighborhoods, starting with Sunnyside.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 25 2007</p>
--	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>We like the Option for the station at Sheridan to be on the south side of the tracks. We are planning to relocate our business to this area in 2008. Our office employees would utilize the light rail for commuting and meetings during the day in the downtown & metro area.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes The BNSF ROW is an ideal area for the light rail project, causing minimal impact to the surrounding areas, and providing a relatively direct route from downtown to Arvada.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes The light rail needs to have as few stops as practical to keep transit times to major park-n-ride locations at a minimum.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes EMU is the most reliable and environmentally friendly solution.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes The Harlan alignment defeats the purpose of speedy transportation from out lying areas into the downtown hub, due to the number of proposed stops and the length of the line.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Bus Route T should be reworked or eliminated. I support express bus transportation, but Route T should go from the north side to a south side light rail station. This would allow commutes more options. RTD needs to stick with express routes to major hubs, such as Market Street Station, Union Station, and Broadway Rail Station.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



Comments test This is a test to insure that my comments can be delivered.	email	Jun 26 2007
<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>"Street cars" are a joke and do not fulfill the intent of the voter election. Arvada's voter support was based on the promise of "Commuter rail"; not expensive fancy local buses.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>I would not object to an additional station between Pecos and Union Station</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>See above comments realted to the boondogle street cars.</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>EMUs have less pollution, noise, vibration, etc., which is very important through the residential areas of Arvada , especially when the residential units abutt the railroad row.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>"Street cars" are a joke and do not fulfill the intent of the voter election. Arvada's voter support was based on the promise of "Commuter rail"; not expensive fancy local buses.</p>	website	Jun 26 2007

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>I hope enough parking spaces are included at these stations.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>I understand that Commuter Rail would be required on the BNSF/UP alignment rather than light rail.</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>I definitely prefer EMU over Diesel. I live in Sunnyside and would like to avoid the pollution and noise caused by the Diesel option.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>While this option seems to provide better access to Northwest Denver, the cost and lack of the funding partnership option seem prohibitive.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>Am I correct in understanding that either recommendation, BNSF or EMU will run within the BNSF right of way?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>Is there an estimate of how much was spent studying this alternative?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>Additionally, I would be in support of using solar technology as an energy source- such as using panels on the top of the cars, as are being utilized on automobiles.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? I would encourage forward- thinking with respect to using renewable energy resources, such as solar which could be incorporated into an electric system.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>Diesel makes no sense to me</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>Streetcars make no sense to me either; they wouldn't significantly reduce commute time</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>The options I've selected above are the common sense solutions; the others are workarounds that won't accomplish what FastTracks is all about.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I wanted to make the meeting tonight but am working late and wouldn't get there until it's at least half over</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? no I like LRT. It's cleaner and what we had in mind when we started. Less maintenance costs because other lines will be LRT. Streetcar is not as good.</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes One day Golden and west 13th line will be joined at Jeffco. Because LRT is coming out on West Corridor having this be electric would allow all the schools to be connected with this line too.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes If commuter rail won't pay for itself, I'm sure streetcar wouldn't be able to either. Street car is the bigger money loser.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? I like electric because it's cleaner, it's easier and less expensive to maintain because other lines will use electric, and there are better system connection opportunities.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>It is my preference that fast tracks be energy self sufficient in this choice ie not burden the excel grid</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>In these matters I would like RTD to consider it's carbon footprint</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? no</p> <p>I would support the cheapest alternative. If a diesel option is cheaper, I think it should be considered</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes The streetcar configuration would have taken so much longer, I couldn't see myself using it. I'd be much more tempted to use the faster EMU. The neighborhood impacts also seem very significant on a streetcar. I've also never really understood the desire to have the mixed modal streetcar when an efficient bus does about the same thing.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes We owe it to the earth and our children to do EMU. DMU might be quicker and easier in infrastructure, but I am not willing to live with the longterm carbon footprint and inefficiency.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes YES! The streetcar dos not make sense and is not efficient compared to the EMU option.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>Thanks for listening. Don't cut so many costs that you make an inefficient system. If you tell the voters why you need more money, I think they will give it to you.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes Please ensure that the team and the board know that Arvadans want EMU and not diesel.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes Thank you for preserving the ability to connect Gold Line with NW corridor</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes But only if they are clear that we want Electric and not diesel</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes Absolutely</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes It was clear that we would lose ridership, increase commute times, and seal Arvada's fate. Additionally, the neighborhood was clear they did not want streetcar coming through their area.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Thank you for the hard work and remaining committed to the initial spirit of what the voters thought they were getting with FastTracks.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>My family and I stongly support an electric light rail train or electric light rail to Union Station (and beyond) from Arvada. \ \ I am glad that the line from Union Station to Ward Road seemes to be agreed upon by RTD and the planners for Fastracks.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>I want electric. Electric. \ \ I have told my board representative, Wally XXXXX, that I strongly want electric powered trains.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>I want no street cars.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes This is the line that was presented and passed by voters. Indeed light rail was presented and approved and EMU in the rail corridor is the closest possible alternative to that original concept.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? no The original approved plan was for light rail as a very accessible technology for neighborhood located transit. Much of the Gold line goes through neighborhoods in Arvada. Larger Units are not compatible with this location.</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes This is teh technology closest to that presented to voters, and it is the technology most compatible with Arvada neighborhoods. Noise levels at the likely operating speeds through Arvada's neighborhoods are lower for EMUs and the environmental impacts for the neighboring homes is lowere for EMUs.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes The Streetcar alignment would fail to serve Arvada residents, sacrificing ridership in Arvada, while gaining a little ridership from neighborhoods closer to Denver. The estimated transit times indicate that this is not a solution.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>I am slanted toward revisiting the DMU option. Concerns regarding the overhead electrical power lines and the aesthetic concerns they create. HOTA has helped to create a visibly appealing Olde Town area. Commuter rail powered by overhead lines. \ \ While DMU option certainly increases EI, how much does it increase over the current train pollution. By 2014, what type of power is available to run the engine? Has their been research done on upcoming technology that could replace a diesel engine in the future? The noise factor is not that big of a deal compared to BNSF that currently runs through the area. \ \ Detailed concerns would be construction of sound barriers (similar to walls created on 72nd avenue)along Grandview Avenue. At what height?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes I support DMU over EMU</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? no</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>DMU technology studied further. In addition, what is on the horizon to replace the diesel technology. Perhaps the EI in the future would be reduced with improved technology (that does NOT require overhead power lines)</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes This alternative meets and will exceed the voters approval of FasTracks. It also demonstrates an effort by RTD to provide efficient, low environmental impact transportation.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes I would hope RTD can provide electric bus transportation from Wheat Ridge to Olde Town and/or Sheridan so that we can easily access the EMU from our neighborhood. I would prefer to access the system in the way rather than drive to a station.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes I believe the EMU would be better environmentally than the DMU, and that it will be a quieter system. I have been on both types of systems in other cities and found the EMU to be much better. The EMU in Minneapolis, MN is an excellent example that I would greatly support for our area.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes My home is near the proposed Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment. RTD studies convinced me that this would not have met the FASTRACKS objectives, may have negatively impacted property values, traffic and the peace and quiet in my neighborhood.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? I strongly support the EMU alternative. I want to use mass transit to go to the airport and to go to downtown for various reasons. I sincerely hope electric bus transportation will be a possibility from Wheat Ridge to the closest stations. I want to leave my car at home and use it as little as possible.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:

Andy XXXX and Megan XXXXX have been very helpful and responsive to any of my questions and concerns from the very beginning and my involvement in the Focus Group meetings. I appreciate their patience in my learning (and sometimes frustration) process.

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>This will cost more initially but has all the advantages that were listed at this Wed. meeting. The previous project, in my mind, seriously overspent, and we should not suffer as a result. \ My wife & I volunteered a lot for FastTrack, and the citizens were promised equal treatment among projects. Already there are clear violations of that promise, and Arvada, perhaps among other cities, deserves a step up in the promise. \ \ wife and I work</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>How soon might it advance to Golden?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? no</p> <p>I realize that this alignment is the preferred alignment, and so I encourage RTD to take care that the imposition of lightrail through the Historic Districts in Olde Town Arvada be sensitive to the environment, and minimize the disruption that is created.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? no</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? no</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>If either EMUs or DMUs are selected, I would like RTD to consider a single track line through the residential and retail historic districts in Olde Town Arvada.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes I support pursuing all avenues to get the conflicts with the right-of-way owners (BNSF/UP) resolved.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes Connecting bus lines will be advantageous to all.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes I would prefer the EMU alternative, if possible. If cost-containment becomes an issue influencing funding, I would support the DMU alternative.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes For all five of the positive impacts and all four of the negative impacts presented on the placard "Differences between Commuter Rail/EMU and Streetcar Alternatives", this seems to be the best alternative.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? I would support a public/private partnership to hold down costs as long as customer satisfaction remains the major goal of the private partner(s) chosen.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>Electric is cleaner and quieter than diesel. Should have two tracks for faster service. Noise can be minimizes - quiet zones?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Be careful of environmental impact, historic preservation, safety of pedestrians around stations and tracks.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? no go emu</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes go emu</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? no go emu</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes it is better for my kids kids.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no emu</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>we are colorado and we should at least try to keep colorado unneak and clean. let the world look at us as the steping stones for the fucher.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>it is for us. let us deaside. we know what is best for us and the childen.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	--------------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? no</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>Street cars are impactical. There were Streetcars in Arvada years before, they did not work then they wont work now</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? no</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	--------------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>-- Closest to FasTracks vote \ -- Least impacts to nearby residents \ -- Start-stop most like LRT, especially given short distances between stations and for the corridor as a whole \ -- Best prospects for ppp (because of life cycle savings) \ -- Best prospects for federal funding (higher ridership)</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: Thank you --</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>I hope the Olde Town Arvada station will be old fashion and will blend in with the building in Olde Town</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes I am very concerned about the noise level however. At the moment, the BNSF trains are making a hell of a racket day and night ... the "drivers" are laying on their horns for up to 20 seconds per blast ... this is relatively recent activity ... is there a reason for it? Will the PA also be blasting it's horn, whistle, whatever?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes really not sure ... I think EMU would be quieter (as long as the drivers are not leaning on their horns every few minutes!) but not convinced they are the more environmentally desirable ... i.e. air quality ... with EMU the corridor would have better air quality, but what about the air quality at the electricity producing facility?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes but I think it should be revisited as a form of "local" transportation.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Thanks to all for a good job!</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>My concern is that in the event that cost for cost considerations DMUs are finally decided upon, the engineering will be so designed so the route could be retrofitted for eventual EMU service. All corridors should have this option.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes All the good work on the design and thoughts that have gone in should be deposited in several depositories - Denver library, Colorado Railroad Museum.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Very concerned about the involvement of the private partnerships having very tight stringent public control. My dissatisfaction with public private that RTD currently has is unsatisfactory. Staff must be adequately trained and good salaries commensurate with RTD employees. This is for safety of the drivers and the public. CEO's get too much money and it doesn't go for the public good.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: The way in which this process has progressed leaves me very proud of how the public has been involved and feeling on my part that all have been listened and their comments have been taken seriously for the benefit of all. The selection of those who have assisted RTD in planning the FasTracks project is commendable.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>The main issue is budget. May look at cost cuts in the future. (e.g. stations) \ \ Perhaps look at SE extension to cut costs - eliminate that line. \ West corridor has had issues with single tracking and changing stations. Don't want that to happen to the Gold Line.</p>	<p>other</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	--------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>FasTracks was in response to traffic problem. What would traffic reduction be with Gold Line vs. BRT?</p>	<p>other</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	--------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I prefer EMU on BN/UP ROW.</p>		<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	--	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>fuel will be so expensive we must go electric so that we can use multiple sources of energy.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Please eliminate any kind of whistles or warning at intersections unless it is life threatening!</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes I feel it will be a great way to help get the citizen down into old town in greater masses. My for-most concern is the view of old town stay and feeling old and also the noise of the horns are put into concetration of a quiet zone, the noise is hard on the relaxing walk ways of people strolling in old town.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes concerns are noise of horns and the visual of the old town feel and look. thier are very few olde towns left!</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes concerns are noise of horns and the visual of the old town feel and look. thier are very few olde towns left!</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes I do not want the smell in the air and the dirtyness that diesel has.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes no street cars.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? diesel is dirty and noisy the electric rail looks clean and less noisy would love to see something different he the wires above.this is not and old town feel.If new technology comes up is or will it be considered</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: I have been in business in old town for almost 8 years and have struggled thru lots construction in old town so I hope there is some strong and thoughts being put into the business to help them stay and look open thru all of this.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Thanks to Gold Line Team for approach. \ Arvada supports EMU as preferred technology for Gold Line. The City Council supports EIS process. EMU is the preferred alternative. \ RTD has obligation to provide electric technology. They must honor the promise to voters. \ EMU Pros are as follows: \ -Allows EIS schedule to proceed as planned. \ -EMU has community support, lower long-term operational costs, quieter, better for air quality, proven technology, quicker acceleration. \ -In APE it's stated that DMU was eliminated based on overwhelming public support, but pending board approval. \ -Prefer EMU - quiet and clean. EMU has many advantages. More sources for EMU.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Are you considering single-tracking Gold Line? \ Regarding the public-private partnership: It didn't work well with buses. Must keep rider as primary focus and the bottom line.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? no</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I am in favor of DMU because I don't want visual impacts (jungle gym) of electric technology. \ \ DMU is less expensive and does away with wires. Noise factor isn't huge. Railroad still makes noise.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Neighborhood by Olde Town contributes significantly to character of Arvada. \ Strongly in favor of electric to preserve quality of air. \ In favor of single track through Olde Town area. It is a valuable asset to the community. \ Wish Arvada would enact quiet zones to preserve quality of life.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Thanks to the team and public for joint credibility. \ Wheat Ridge also endorses EMU technology for Gold Line but understand that technology is quickly changing. Diesel may not be the same in a few years.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? no</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I would caution against getting hung up on DMU versus EMU. There are diesel buses that are quieter than expected. \ Don't have a closed mind on diesel. It's more economical than stringing wires. \ \ Also prefer diesel because a Colorado manufacturer makes them. Boost to our economy. \ Compliment team on meetings and the board for looking at other technologies.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Concerned about public private partnerships and how it will be managed and run. Don't like buses run by private enterprises. What controls are in place? \ \ Also concerned that if diesel is selected, that a Colorado manufacturer be selected.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>If it is diesel, must look into bio-diesel. \ Agree with 7 stations as voted on in FasTracks vote. \ Should eliminate streetcar. \ Concerned that BN owns surrounding easements near railroad ROW. \ By adding additional trains, I'm concerned about vibration. \ Want to preserve ambiance of Olde Town Arvada. \ Worried about children crossing tracks - safety issues. \ What will RTD do to help keep children safe?</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Like how process has taken place. Believe process has been true interaction with public. \ \ Don't want work done to evaluate streetcar to be lost. Don't think streetcar should be preferred alternative for Gold Line, but it has merit. Must not lose work already done, it must be passed on and available.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Hope to someday be a be able to go from Ward Road to Golden. \ Yes, want EMU on BN/UP, yes on 7 stations. \ Thrilled about elimination for streetcar. \ Important to consider air quality and noise \ We are talking about up-front costs vs long term. We must be thinking about long term both environmentally and fiscally by going EMU. \ Continue to take safety into consideration. \ Must look at potential to create jobs. Let's keep as much of the work in Colorado. \ Trains in Colorado constantly sound horns. Horns are intolerable. Will Gold Line do the same as railroads with their horns?</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Don't drag this own because of divided feelings of citizens. No project will satisfy 100% of the public. Due to economic considerations I feel this is the best method of mass transportation.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 26 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes I support this recommendation. Living in the Olde Town Arvada area I feel the speed, lack of noise and Federal Funding options make this the best choice for the Gold Line.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes Each of these areas is central to a large segment of residential housing and are point in the community for traffic congestion. The stations should help improve that, as well as support the retail shops in those areas.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes Especially if it cuts down the noisy diesel horns.</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes No diesel engines!</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Keep moving forward. The construction costs aren't going to go down, our fuel prices aren't going to go down, at each juncture focus on moving forward.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>I think the use of diesel fuel would be cause more polution of the air.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>PA comments RTD / Gold Line EIS team, I support the selection of the Commuter Rail - EMU on the BNSF / UP railroad alignment as the Preferred Alternative for further analysis in the EIS, along with the inclusion of seven stations planned for the transit corridor. I also support the removal of the Streetcar on Arterial Streets alternative from further analysis.</p> <p>I also wish to comment on the RTD Board's upcoming selection of transit technologies to be studied and / or used on remaining FasTracks corridors. While I understand the Board's interest in limiting the technologies used in the rail corridors as a possible way to limit costs and save money, I believe this type of "blanket" decision on a preferred technology is misguided. By not considering each corridor individually for the best technology, as the MIS and EIS studies do, a single decision on which type of transit technology should be used in the remaining guided transit corridors of the FasTracks system is unworkable and inappropriate. I reviewed the solid RTD staff presentation made to the RTD Board about transit technologies and found the staff conclusions regarding EMU use in the Gold Line corridor to be compelling - strong public / agency support, no delays in completing the EIS and construction and strong case for garnering federal funds. The application of EMUs is proven, widespread and successful throughout the U.S. DMU application is limited and restrictive due to few manufacturers making such cars.</p> <p>RTD's Board should consider each corridor's specific use and technology, as they do in the EIS for each line, and stop its attempt to select a single technology for use in the remaining corridors. Such a short-sighted decision will backfire, prove costly and delay the progress and momentum of the FasTracks program.</p> <p>Doug XXXX Arvada, Colorado</p>	<p>email</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	--------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I encourage "the powers that be" to free their minds and please have a look at Santiago Calatrava's Stadelhofen railway station in Zurich and his proposed station at the WTC site in New York City. The community surrounding the 38th/44th Gold Line station would greatly benefit and warmly welcome a beautiful transportation facility.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes I support the Electric Commuter Rail alternative. It doesn't make much sense to spend money on a Street Car, when there are bus lines that run in the same general area. Heck, you could save a great deal of money and run a bus line along the basic same route. \ \ Still the Commuter Rail is the best alternative, as it saves time, and provides fast access to downtown.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? no Not sure. But, I wonder about the frequency of use of a Pecos St stop. However, being a heavily industrial area, perhaps those who work in the area would utilize the stop. \ \ Otherwise, I like the proposed stations.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes What's the sense in spending money on a street car when a bus can run the same line for less money in approximately the same amount of time?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I wanted to come to the Public Workshop tonight, but I am unable to make it. HOwever, I appreciate that you have this site for submitting comments.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes Much more efficient time wise to get people along the line...</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes Cross platform transfer to the NW rail corridor is very important (before you get to Union Station)...</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes Using an existing rail corridor is a much better idea than trying to create a new corridor...</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? no I would like to see both DMU and EMU technology examined in the EIS. My concern is that EMU technology would almost certainly mean that the Gold line would never be extended into Golden (eg: to 44th & McIntyre or Coors brewery parking lot). The use of DMU would make any future extension much more likely to happen...</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes I like streetcars but that is not what this corridor needs at this time...!</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Who in the USA makes EMU equipment? \ DMU seems to allow more flexibility for future expansion and much lower construction costs. Not sure the pollution argument holds much water as electricity from a power plant creates pollution too...!</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: Don't give up on DMU technology yet!!</p>	public workshop kiosk	Jun 27 2007
--	-----------------------------	-------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>As I have stated several times before in emails to RTD,I would prefer that the Gold Line utilize the existing technology to maximize economies of scale over time. I would be in favor of increased taxes to address initil costs. I feel that the added cost of dual equipment types and maintenance facilities will out strip any initial savings from the proposed technologies. As for the liability issue, the existing SW line runs in the same ROW with the freight trains. Something can be do to make this work.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>EMU will likely be more cost effective from an operations standpoint and a greener option with regard to renewable energy.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>Locking in with one manufacture of a technology is not a wise decision. With the EMU being quieter, faster and having a larger capacity, it seems the obvious choice. \ \ Diesel over the long haul, will not be cheaper to operate than a renewable energy (wind) or less polluting. I don't think it's smart to invest in a technology that might be feasible in 10 years, like hydrogen. \ \ The upfront costs will be recovered through a 50 or 100 year system.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes When looking at the alternatives from a commuter stand point, the travel time and fewer stops are attractive. On the downside, the neighborhoods and the commercial entities in the neighborhoods are under served by location of the stations and distance from most housing and commercial (as opposed to industrial) properties.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? no However, I would like to see a street car component to the Gold line that would incorporate stops along the 38th Ave. corridor. The goal should be providing access and transportation to more people, not just M-F commuters!</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes 100% - Electric is much better than diesel!</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no See comment #2</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Current rail lines are too expensive for single riders. \ \ the current plans fail to serve the less affluent portions of our metro community. Communities in the north, northeast and eastern portions of the metro area have been severely underserved by this project. \ \ I applaud the effort and support light rail 100%. I believe our implementation leaves something to be desired for these under served communities. There are a number of uninsured, unlicensed drivers we could remoe from the roads if we had and low cost efficient rail option to move people around the metro area! \ \ I appreciate your work and look forward to becoming more involved in the process! \ \ Thank you!</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? no The alignment does not adequately serve the densely populated neighborhoods of northwest denver. The alignment as proposed traverses a 'no man's land' of low density development, with marginal prospects for future development on parcels restricted by flood plains and poor access. An overlay of ridership anticipated within a five and ten minute walk of the proposed stations would certainly be telling - little wonder that that graphic was not part of the presentation. The 'increased ridership' afforded by the 'preferred' alternative is of benefit to only those people in suburban areas. Residents of northwest Denver are made to believe that the increased parking in our neighborhood boundaries is to be perceived as a benefit of the Fasttracks program.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes If it is the alternative that goes forward.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes Since the optimum technology, light rail, can not be utilized within the railroad ROW.</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes Only as compared to diesel.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no As above, it is important to provide walking accessible service to the neighborhoods of northwest denver.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>I still think the 38th Avenue streetcar line would have great value for the future and should be pursued.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>Don't forget about the street car alternative on 38th.</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>Increased costs will be offset by environmental considerations and improved health of citizens. Diesel fumes are proven to have adverse effects on health.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no</p> <p>I understand the process but don't want to give up on streetcar on 38th.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Public transportation in general will become more critical as fuel costs increase and population grows. The rail network needs to grow beyond the original plan.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? no We believe the alternative for the streetcars should be used instead of the BNSF/Union Pacific Railroad right of way. Should the railway be passed, we only support electric trains, not the pollutants of diesel.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes This is a yes and no answer. Should budget be a problem, then maybe a station or two may be removed from the existing plan</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes This is a yes and no answer. Should budget be a problem, then maybe a station or two may be removed from the existing plan</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes Definitely!!!</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no It is still our favorite alternative.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? We do hope that if the railroad is the alternative of choice that the tracks are on the north side of the tracks.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>I think it would be unconscionable to even consider spending the money to basically recreate a functioning bus system on 38th Avenue, considering the impacts in terms of property acquisition, the aesthetics of the infrastructure required by streetcar, and construction in our neighborhoods. Although many of my neighbors support streetcar because of the possibilities of TOD, I say let's find other ways of redeveloping 38th Avenue that don't have these impacts. As long as the bus service remains the same--or even better--on 38th, I am 100% behind this recommendation.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Thank you for your continued efforts to educate and involve the public in this process.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes Only if the technology chosen is EMU. I would not support DMU in this alignment...I consider a long-term commitment to fossil fuel such as this to be foolish.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? no</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes Only if the technology chosen is EMU. I would not support DMU in this alignment...I consider a long-term commitment to fossil fuel such as this to be foolish.</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes Absolutely</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no I think this solution would be viable if the federal model was not so sensitive to travel time, and was not biased towards the commuter rail solution.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? I urge the board to accept the recommendation to use EMU on the Gold line, and I discourage RTD from forcing the gold line team to reconsider DMU. DMU has already been eliminated as an option, and reconsidering would be a waste of time and money, neither of which are in excess supply for RTD or the community. Furthermore, I hope the board considers their legacy and how future constituents will reflect on a decision made by the board to use DMU considering the rising cost both in dollars and human life of fossil fuels.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>I would not support the Gold Line if diesel units were the choice.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>Yes....but also strongly support Denver looking at the economic & environmental feasibility of streetcars.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>This was my first meeting. Thank you for the comprehensiveness of your information.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>no</p> <p>Currently, from Union Station to at least Arvada, this rail line travels through an industrial area - with little or no residential areas. If this line is chosen, the industrial uses will be "unseated". Where are they supposed to go? You need to include the relocation of these uses in your planning, including financial assistance, zoning of the new location and purchase of the property. This alternative will encourage a huge amount of growth (given the TODs, etc.) - a "build it an they will come" philosophy. Is this what we really want for the future?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Where would teh 38th/44th station be located?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>no</p> <p>See comments relating to number 1. They also apply to this question.</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>yes</p> <p>IF this alternative (rail line) is chosen, then electric is preferrable, especially over diesel. HOWEVER, this question is confusing because the way that you have this worded, you need to be careful with what you do with the answers to this question in your database because it would be easy to misinterpret an answer to this question as one in support of the use of the rail road alignment. In my case, think that the 38th alignment is a much preferred alternative.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?

no
You should have worded these questions in such a way that they are not as confusing. For example, question 1 and 4 should be worded alike: i.e. "Do you support the recommendation for the alignment to be....." as opposed to "eliminating" one alternative from further study.

5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I hope you secure opinions from a wide variety of people.

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Look at DMU/Electric technology. This could be more fuel efficient and cost efficient.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>that's what we voted for and it is the most cost effective route that moves the most people for the least smount of money with the least enviromental impact</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>too costly regarding lack of federal funding, too slow, doesn't move enough people</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I am satisfied with our current bus system and don't think the street car would be an improvement.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>If we favor alternative with electric technology, will be have a say if diesel if re-introduced? \ Seems like streetcar would be more efficient. There are more people in NW Denver.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? no</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>More population surrounding streetcar stations. What comments have you received from different areas of corridor? \ \ I am disappointed that you have given up on streetcar. 38th is well-traveled and a place where people take transportation. Streetcar makes sense for 38th. Should evaluate additional streets for route to go north/south on. \ \ EMU is "build it and they will come." Do we want transportation for those who already live here, or do we want to build it in an industrial area? \ NW Denver already has huge concentrated population. Where will industrial development go when TOD is built? This is not a good deal for Denver County. \ Don't penalize people for living where they work. Also have pollution concerns.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>I own a business at 60th & Sheridan. I see this alignment as a benefit for the employees who work for the many businesses in the area between Lamar and Tenyson.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>Speed of the line is important.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>Electric is clean. Additional pollution along the corridor would adversely affect my production operations. Some of our production processes are sensitive to airborne contaminants.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: I think the Sheridan station should be West of Sheridan, closer to the majority of the businesses in the Clear Creek/I-76 Enterprise Zone. I see the rail line as a benefit to the employees who work in this area. My property is adjacent to the RR tracks. I will sell the back half of my lot to you to build the station!</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes My support is qualified by the requirement that we also get a stop on the NW line to Boulder. NW Denver deserves something out of this entire process and all of the funding going to FastTracks. If we are giving up the Streetcar, please ensure that we do get a stop on the NW line. Otherwise FastTracks just skips our neighborhood altogether. \ \ I also do not support DMU. If that is selected by RTD, I do not support the project at all.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes Again, only if there is also a stop at 38th/44th for the NW line also.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no Someone in the meeting suggested that it is the northbound part of this route that resulted in a big time hold up. I'd like clarification if that is true. If so, I'd like to know if there are other northbound streets to consider.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? It is very unfair for RTD to demand consideration of DMU at this time. This was not selected by the community process so should not be thrown in at this late date.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: I'm wondering if there was any consideration of electric buses on wheels instead of rail. Toronto has both rail streetcars and electric buses. The buses can change lanes, so they are much quicker, but don't have the pollution of diesel buses.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>It seems the most practical</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>keep itin mind for future refinement</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>Although I cannot use this line I support its development to help others to use mass transit in the Denver metro area.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no</p> <p>I live close to 38th Avenue and could use the streetcar if it were built. So I would like to see it maintained as a future possible option for RTD.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? I very strongly support EMU over DMU.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>I am pleased that it is going the way it was voted on.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>HOW can Streetcars save time over buses with traffic and stop lights?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: I wish it was built!</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Arvada supports EIS process, and we support electric commuter rail on BN/UP alignment. We support 7 stations. \ Support elimination of streetcar, but support it as a potential future project.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	--------------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I think adding DMUs back in is disturbing to public process. Public supports EMU.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I applaud committee for elimination of streetcar. Besides environmental impacts, must look at economic impacts. Effect on loss of parking on 38th, loss for small businesses, increased traffic on surrounding streets.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>Considering DMU will cause delay in project. \ Think EMU is quieter, less expensive in the long-term, proven technology. \ Thank you for listening to public comments on eliminating streetcar. I think it would cause inappropriate development in the community.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I am in favor of streetcar. Streetcar will help alleviate parking issues. Brings people to mom and pop shops on 38th. \ Better long-term benefits. Think streetcar would enhance 38th.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I am in favor of eliminating streetcar. I was sold on idea of BN/UP route. That alignment moves the most people for less cost.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I support the 3 team recommendations.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	--------------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I feel fatigued by process, e.g. diesel being reconsidered. \ When are decisions final? \ Concerned about public private operators running line. Might not have public's best interest in mind.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>XXX XXXX supports EMU alternative. Good for bike routes and storage. \ Concerned about bike routes from Pecos to downtown. \ Does planning process preclude future expansion west?</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)?</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? no</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p> <p>I like the idea of streetcar. Think we should continue to look at streetcar. Do an economic development study.</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Please consider the needs of bicyclists while developing the plans</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>The EMU alternative will beter address the need.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>The EMU alternative will beter address the need.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? The trains should be better able to accomodate bicycles than the current LRT system.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>public workshop kiosk</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
--	------------------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>Yes. Could you put me in touch with someone that knows how the negotiations are proceeding with Burlington Northern?</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 27 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>North Denver Gold Line Station -- planning process for locating station </p> <p>Dear Gold Line Team:</p> <p>Thank you for your presentations this week and hard and very professional work!</p> <p>Stakeholders in XXXXX and XXXXX have been meeting over the past two months and have come to the following general consensus [my paraphrasing]about our goals for the Northwest Denver Station. This is merely a confirmation of views and discussions held over the past several years between the community leadership of XXX and XXX:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * A North Denver Gold Line and Northwest Rail station that is convenient to access for North Denver Residents -- because of its proximity and connectivity to 38th Ave. * Revitalization of the area around the 38th Ave underpass beneath the rail yards: Sunnyside to the Northwest, Fox Street portion of Globeville to the Northeast, Highland to the Southwest, and pedestrian and bicycle connectivity to the Central Platte Valley to the Southeast. * Vastly improved bicycle and pedestrian connectivity in this area --connecting all fragments of this area together including Sunnyside and Highland with the Central Platte Valley, RiNo [River North or Brighton Boulevard Neighborhood], and the "Fox Street" portion of Globeville. * Reconstruction of the 38th Ave underpass -- similar to the 15th Street Underpass near LoDo -- with a pedestrian connection over 38th Ave at Inca Street. [This item is on the Infrastructure Priorities List now] * Mixed-use redevelopment of the "Fox Street" Neighborhood -- with good connectivity to all surrounding neighborhoods and to the Platte Valley and the new Gold Line Station. * Long-term revitalization of the 38th Ave corridor through North Denver -- related to enhanced transit that connects with the 38th Ave station. * Cross platform access to Northwest Rail. <p>We are trying to figure out the venue where we can voice these views in detail as part of a planning process for locating our Gold Line station -- but we have not yet found our opportunity. The boards of XXX and XXXalong with the XXXX Planning and Community Development Committee issued a letter in May - a copy is attached.</p>	<p>email</p>	<p>Jun 28 2007</p>
--	--------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>Could the Gold Line team follow up with Keith XXX, president of XXXX Neighbors Inc, to explain the venue or process whereby we can work on this station location in a collaborative context, and where the Gold Line EIS team can explain in detail all the constraints and opportunities? Keith's email is XXXXX and his phone is (XXX) XXX-XXXX.</p> <p>Thank you!</p> <p>Michael XXXX XXXXX Neighbors Inc Planning and Community Development Committee MICHAEL XXXX XXXX XXXX Navajo Street Denver, CO XXXX (XXX)XXX-XXXX (XXX)XXX-XXXX fax <mailto:XXXXXXXX XXXXX XXX</p>		
<p>Thanks for all your hard work! I support your recommendations. Am praying for commuter rail on the BN/UP (EMU)</p>	<p>comment card</p>	<p>Jun 28 2007</p>

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>June 28, 2007 Chairman Christopher XXXX \ and Board of Directors \ Regional Transportation District \ 1600 Blake Street \ Denver, CO 80202</p> <p>Subject: Gold Line Transit Technology</p> <p>Dear Chairman XXXX and Board of Directors:</p> <p>I am writing is to express the unqualified support of the XXXX Urban Renewal Authority Board of Commissioners for Electric Multiple Units as our preferred technology alternative on the Gold Line. It is our firm belief that through the rigorous analysis being conducted on this corridor, both financial as well as environmental, EMUs will emerge as the most viable and acceptable technology.</p> <p>RTD made a promise, and consequently has an obligation, to the voters of the district to provide electrified rail on Gold Line. We understand that unforeseen operational problems have arisen that eliminate the use of the railroad rights of way for light rail transit however we believe that the RTD board must deliver a product that meets the intent of that promise. We believe that EMUs are that product. Deriving their power from electricity, EMUs very closely meet the vision and scope of FasTracks. Further, they are much more compatible than diesel with conditions along the Gold Line where existing homes and planned residences are within 100' of the proposed transit line. The onslaught of environmental impacts from diesel including noise, vibration and local air pollutants render diesel locomotion wholly incompatible and therefore unacceptable.</p> <p>Your careful consideration of this matter is important to our community.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>David XXXX, Chairman Arvada Urban Renewal Authority</p>	<p>mail</p>	<p>Jun 28 2007</p>
--	-------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>June 28, 2007 RTD Fastracks Gold Line Corridor Team \ 600 17th St. \ Suite 2020 South \ Denver, CO 80202</p> <p>Re: Gold Line Alignment and Technology Dear Gold Line Corridor Team Members: RTD Gold Line Project Team</p> <p>On behalf of the XXXX Chamber of Commerce, I would like to thank the project team for the work done to date, express support for the decisions endorsing rail transit in the railroad corridor and dropping the streetcar option from consideration, and reassert the Chamber's support for electric modular units (EMU) as the preferred technology for the Gold Line.</p> <p>Placing the Gold Line in the rail corridor is the most effective and efficient option. This is what was proposed to and approved by voters. This route is least disruptive to communities and most effectively serves the commuting public more rapid and reliable transportation.</p> <p>We applaud the decision to remove the streetcar option on 38th Avenue from consideration. This option would disrupt several communities along the route, it would disrupt traffic on the existing roads, streetcars would be subject to the same traffic congestion as other traffic with the inability to adjust its route as necessary. Travel times would be too long to attract riders from the west end of the line resulting in a shift of ridership from Arvada to west Denver.</p> <p>The Arvada business community is looking forward to the three stations planned for our city and Ward Road station on our border. We have participated in the City's station planning sessions, and we look forward to the economic development that the Gold Line will eventually bring.</p> <p>Finally, we support the use of EMUs as the preferred technology. The Gold Line will go through several residential and commercial neighborhoods in Arvada. We are concerned about the noise, vibration and environmental impacts of diesel technology (DMU). RTDs information demonstrates that DMUs create more noise at the speeds that cars would be traveling through Arvada. We have little information to mitigate our concerns about vibration, and emissions from DMUs would be similar to buses.</p> <p>We recognize the EMUs represent a higher initial cost due to construction of the power lines, but in the long term, EMUs are more cost effective. We also recognize that technology in this area is changing rapidly, and there may be an independent powered alternative when it comes time to make a final purchase. But with today's technology, we prefer EMUs</p> <p>Thanks for your attention.</p> <p>Sincerely, Bob XXXX Chairman</p>	<p>mail</p>	<p>Jun 28 2007</p>
--	-------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: Thanks for all your hard work! I support your recommendations. Am praying for commuter rail on BN/UP (EMU).</p>	<p>comment card</p>	<p>Jun 28 2007</p>
---	---------------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>Residential character of neighborhoods would be spoiled by buses. \ We voted for trains as better suited to distance travel for shopping.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>Kind of a stretch from Olde Town to Ridge Road. Needs a large lot and strong bike stands.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>YES!</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? I hope for storage of bulky objects- shelves and mesh restraints- \ so people do not have to drop boxes and suitcases in the aisles.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: Some people fear that crime will increase with easy access to our neighborhoods by people without cars, homeless and such. We know there was inadequate supervision on the downtown cars at first.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 28 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>I do support the recommendation for the alignment of preferred Alternative to be in the SNSF/Union Railroad right of way. This is what we as tax payers voted on .</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? no</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? no</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>Not at All .</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 28 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? EMU is used throughout the US and is most consistent with the voter approval of light rail and is better for the environment.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 28 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>Most emphatically!</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? As a long time resident of XXXXX, and a member of the XXXX Historical Society, I am very concerned about the possibility of a diesel alternative through Arvada. Our XXXX Museum at Wadsworth (sitting right along side the current railroad tracks) is an old, vulnerable building and the vibration and exhaust pollution would be detrimental to the building - and the increased noise would impact our use of the building for tours, events, etc. And, the same applies for the whole of Olde Town Arvada. The railroad already provides noise and exhaust pollution, adding to it many more times a day is not acceptable! No diesel through Arvada!</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: Electric is in line with what we as a community have been expecting. Cleaner, quieter, and less costly in the long run. Not dependent on non-renewable/foreign fuels. No more delay to do further impact studies.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? No diesel trains</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
--	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>Very much support this option.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>They are not practical.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Please select the EMU option versus the DMU option.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: I am very opposed to the DMU option. Please take the recommendation of the locally preferred option and select EMUs. Thank you.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
--	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>Diesel is NOTa preferred option</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>This option will serve fewer communter/riders</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Please do not consider dirtier technology (diesel) for commuter rail. We should move to better technology, cleaner technology and proven technology for transport. Diesel is not that technology.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: Just get it done. The planning should have been done at the top and not now. Stuff happens, but this was voted on and passed far too long ago.</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
--	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? I am opposed to DMU's and hope the RTD board will choose the recommended and preferred option of EMU's.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? I believe that EMU will best serve the needs of the citizens of Arvada in the future. DMU would be a detriment to the historic neighborhoods of Arvada which are close to the right of way.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Dear RTD Board members \ \ We are homeowners on the Gold Line in Olde Town Arvada, and our home is bordered by the railroad tracks, therefore, we are writing to lend support for Electric Multiple Units as our preferred technology alternative on the Gold Line. \ \ It is our firm belief that through the rigorous analysis being conducted on this corridor , both financial as well as environmental, EMUs will emerge as the most viable and acceptable technology. \ \ RTD made a promise, and consequently has an obligation, to the voters of the district to provide electrified rail on the Gold Line. We understand that unforeseen operational problems have arisen that eliminate the use of the railroad rights of way for light rail transit however we believe that the RTD board must deliver a product that meets the intent of that promise. We believe that EMUs are that product. Deriving their power from electricity, EMUs very closely meet the vision and scope of FasTracks. \ \ They are much more compatible than diesel with conditions along the Gold Line where OUR home is located. \ \ The unwelcome onslaught of environmental impacts from diesel including noise, vibration and local air ...</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>Just hope not diesel.</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>Sheridan and what? 52nd or 58th</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>Regrettably, it would be nice to have but unrealistic.</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Some sort of bus system to access commuter stations from this part of town.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE: Just get it done!!!</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>Would love one even a little further north in Arvada.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY? yes</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY? Our beautiful city of Denver is already very polluted and I can only envision EMU's and NOT DMU'S. Acually ON ALL THE LINES. Please consider all the people that DMU's would negatively effect. NO more pollution is our city. Go for the Green. And for competition, also. More efficient and effective in the world we live in.</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
--	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p><i>Note: The following comment was submitted by completing the Preferred Alternative Comment form.</i></p> <p>1) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ALIGNMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE TO BE IN THE BNSF/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY? yes</p> <p>2) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SHOULD HAVE 7 STATIONS (38th/44th, Pecos, Federal, Sheridan, Olde Town, Ridge Rd., Ward Rd.)? yes</p> <p>There is no good option for the location of the 38th Avenue station (40th Ave & Fox and 43rd Ave & Fox). Both are separated from the platform by the freight line and bus, commuter and pedestrian access is very difficult or not planned. However, Option B with bus access appears to be the only choice if bus access is a given. \ \ Suggestion: Show the railroad r.o.w and tracks and the streets and two blocks west of the commuter rail line in every presentation of the two options.</p> <p>3) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING COMMUTER RAIL ON THE BNSF/UP ALIGNMENT AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE GOLD LINE? yes</p> <p>3A) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION OF SELECTING ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT (EMU, a.k.a. electric commuter rail) AS THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY FOR THE GOLD LINE?</p> <p>I don't have enough information to make an informed decision. Suspend a decision until a comprehensive assessment of the alternative power sources is made. \ The assessment should include environmental impact, neighborhood impact, and connectivity with other FasTracks lines and future transit service west to the mountains.</p> <p>4) DO YOU SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO ELIMINATE ALTERNATIVE 7BB (Streetcar on 38th to Harlan alignment) FROM FURTHER STUDY?</p> <p>Moot question following decision to drop the alternative</p> <p>5) DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE SHARED WITH THE RTD BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY?</p> <p>ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS MAY BE SUBMITTED HERE:</p>	<p>website</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
---	----------------	--------------------

GOLD LINE PUBLIC COMMENTS

JUNE 1-30, 2007



<p>Gold Line Technology - Meeting on Tuesday in Arvada I attended the meeting at the Arvada Center on Tuesday and was delighted to see that the Streetcar alternative has been set aside and that the train is the preferred alternative.</p> <p>I feel the streetcar may be a good option through neighborhoods in the future, but the train is the only alternative that makes sense to connect the residents in Arvada, Wheat Ridge, and North Denver to Downtown Denver & the other lines in the RTD district. Based on the projected speed of service from Ward Road to Downtown Denver, the anticipated ridership, and the location of the stations, I think the Goldline will be a success. I know my family and I look forward to hopping on the commuter train and riding to the Pepsi Center, Coors Field, and other venues for sporting events, concerts, and plays. We won't miss the traffic on I-70 & I-25, or looking for/paying for parking downtown.</p> <p>My only concern is that DMU is being considered. I think EMU would be a better choice given that the Goldline will be traveling through neighborhoods and industrial areas, the stations will be located in heavily populated areas, and diesel is noisier and dirtier than electric. I would, however, like to stress that if at all possible, RTD should purchase the trains from a Colorado company, instead of from a company in another state, in order to promote industry in the state.</p> <p>Thank you for all of the hard work that has gone into the process so far. I have attended several meetings over the last year and have always had an enjoyable experience and been impressed with the knowledge and courtesy of the staff that puts on the meetings. Keep up the good work.</p> <p>Peggy XXXX</p>	<p>email</p>	<p>Jun 29 2007</p>
--	--------------	--------------------